

# Journal Pre-proof

Reduced susceptibility mechanism to cefiderocol, a siderophore cephalosporin, among clinical isolates from global surveillance program (SIDERO-WT-2014)

Naoki Kohira Meredith A. Hackel Yoshino Ishioka Miho Kuroiwa  
Daniel F. Sahm Takafumi Sato Hideki Maki Yoshinori Yamano



PII: S2213-7165(20)30184-3

DOI: <https://doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.jgar.2020.07.009>

Reference: JGAR 1307

To appear in: *Journal of Global Antimicrobial Resistance*

Received Date: 2 May 2020

Revised Date: 11 June 2020

Accepted Date: 14 July 2020

Please cite this article as: Kohira N, Hackel MA, Ishioka Y, Kuroiwa M, Sahm DF, Sato T, Maki H, Yamano Y, Reduced susceptibility mechanism to cefiderocol, a siderophore cephalosporin, among clinical isolates from global surveillance program (SIDERO-WT-2014), *Journal of Global Antimicrobial Resistance* (2020), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2020.07.009>

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier.

**1 Highlight**

- 2 ● Cefiderocol (CFDC) is a novel siderophore cephalosporin for injection.  
3 ●  $\beta$ -lactamases contributed to non-susceptibility to CFDC.  
4 ● Multiple factors including NDM and PER could be related to CFDC non-susceptibility.  
5  
6

7 **Reduced susceptibility mechanism to cefiderocol, a**  
8 **siderophore cephalosporin, among clinical isolates from**  
9 **global surveillance program (SIDERO-WT-2014)**  
10

11 Naoki Kohira <sup>a</sup>, Meredith A. Hackel <sup>b</sup>, Yoshino Ishioka <sup>a</sup>, Miho Kuroiwa <sup>a</sup>,  
12 Daniel F. Sahn <sup>b</sup>, Takafumi Sato <sup>a</sup>, Hideki Maki <sup>a</sup>, and Yoshinori Yamano <sup>a</sup>

13 <sup>a</sup> Laboratory for Drug Discovery and Disease Research, Shionogi & Co., Ltd.,  
14 Osaka, Japan,

15 <sup>b</sup> International Health Management Associates, Inc., Schaumburg, Illinois, USA  
16

17 Naoki Kohira

18 Mailing address: Laboratory for Drug Discovery and Disease Research, Shionogi & Co., Ltd.

19 3-1-1 Futaba-cho, Toyonaka, Osaka 561-0825, Japan.

20 Phone: +81-6-6331-8081. Fax: +81-6-6331-8612.

21 E-mail: naoki.kohira@shionogi.co.jp

22

## Short Communications

### 23 Highlight

- 24 ● Cefiderocol (CFDC) is a novel siderophore cephalosporin for injection.
- 25 ●  $\beta$ -lactamases contributed to non-susceptibility to CFDC.
- 26 ● Multiple factors including NDM and PER could be related to CFDC non-susceptibility.

27

### 28 Abstract

#### 29 ● Objectives

30 To investigate possible mechanistic factors to explain cefiderocol (CFDC) non-susceptibility,  
31 we characterized 38 clinical isolates with cefiderocol MIC of  $>4 \mu\text{g/mL}$  from a multi-national  
32 surveillance study.

#### 33 ● Methods

34 The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) measurement in the presence of  $\beta$ -lactamase  
35 inhibitors and whole genome sequencing were performed.

#### 36 ● Results

37 The MIC decrease of CFDC by  $\beta$ -lactamase inhibitors were observed against all of the test  
38 isolates. Among 38 isolates, NDM or PER genes were observed in 5 and 25 isolates,  
39 respectively. No other  $\beta$ -lactamases responsible for high MIC were identified in other 8  
40 isolates. MIC of CFDC against *Escherichia coli* isogenic strains introduced with NDM and  
41 PER  $\beta$ -lactamase increased by  $\geq 16$ -fold, suggesting the contribution of NDM and PER to the  
42 non-susceptibility to CFDC. Against NDM producers,  $\geq 8$ -fold MIC increase was observed  
43 only when both serine- and metallo-type beta-lactamase inhibitors were added. In addition,  
44 many of PER- or NDM-producers remained susceptible to CFDC. These results suggested  
45 that the presence of only NDM or PER would not lead to non-susceptibility to CFDC and that  
46 multiple factors would be related with CFDC resistance.

47 ● Conclusions

48 Multiple factors including NDM and PER could be related to reduced susceptibility to CFDC.

49

50

51 Keywords: Cefiderocol, siderophore cephalosporin, Gram-negative bacilli, PER-type

52  $\beta$ -lactamase, cefiderocol non-susceptible

Journal Pre-proof

## 53 1. Introduction

54

55 The infections caused by carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative bacteria (CR-GNB)  
56 are major threats to public health [1, 2]. Therapeutic options available to treat patients with  
57 CR-GNB infections are currently limited as these isolates frequently acquire multi-drug  
58 resistance [2, 3]. Cefiderocol (formerly S-649266) is a novel siderophore cephalosporin for  
59 injection which has been approved by the US FDA for the treatment of complicated urinary  
60 tract infection in 2019. CFDC has two unique mechanisms to overcome carbapenem  
61 resistance. First, the catechol moiety of CFDC facilitates the efficient entry through the outer  
62 membrane of GNB using active iron transport systems [4]. Second, CFDC has been shown to  
63 be more stable *in vitro* than carbapenems to both serine- and metallo-type carbapenemases  
64 such as KPC-3, OXA-48, OXA-23, OXA-24/40, VIM-2, IMP-1, NDM-1, and L1 by  
65 enzymatic studies [5, 6]. Several multi-national surveillance studies demonstrated potent  
66 antimicrobial activity of CFDC against CR-GNB including Enterobacterales and  
67 non-fermenters such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Acinetobacter baumannii*, and  
68 *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. [7-9]. Among 9,205 Gram-negative bacteria from North  
69 America and Europe from a multi-national surveillance SIDERO-WT-2014 study, CFDC was  
70 shown to be active with MIC<sub>90</sub> of  $\leq 4$   $\mu\text{g/mL}$  against various carbapenemase-positive isolates  
71 such as KPC, OXA-48, OXA-23, OXA-24, IMP, VIM although MIC<sub>90</sub> against NDM-positive  
72 isolates was 8  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  [10]. Among these 9,205 isolates, 39 isolates had cefiderocol MIC of  
73  $>4\mu\text{g/mL}$ , which was defined to be non-susceptible according to provisional break points by  
74 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) [11]. In this study, we characterized 38  
75 isolates except for one *Burkholderia cepacia* isolate to identify possible mechanistic factors to  
76 explain CFDC non-susceptibility.

77

## 78 **2. Materials and Methods**

### 79 **2.1 Bacterial isolates**

80 Thirty-eight isolates included 9 Enterobacterales (6 *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, 2  
81 *Serratia marcescens* and 1 *Klebsiella aerogenes*), 28 *Acinetobacter baumannii*, and 1  
82 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. The Enterobacterales isolates were from Turkey (6), Italy (1),  
83 Germany (1), and United States (1). The *A. baumannii* isolates were from Russia (18), Turkey  
84 (6), United States (3), and Sweden (1). One *P. aeruginosa* isolate was from Canada.

85 *Escherichia coli* isogenic strains introducing each  $\beta$ -lactamase gene were constructed  
86 in this study. Amplification of DNA fragments of the  $\beta$ -lactamase genes were performed from  
87 first codon to stop codon by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The fragments were introduced  
88 into multi-cloning site in pET9a (Invitrogen<sup>TM</sup>). The constructed plasmid was introduced into  
89 *E. coli* BL21(DE3) (Invitrogen<sup>TM</sup>), respectively. The DNA sequences of  $\beta$ -lactamase genes  
90 were referred to the database maintained by the United States National Center for  
91 Biotechnology Information ([www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)).

### 93 **2.2 Antimicrobial susceptibility testing**

94 MICs were determined by broth microdilution method using iron-depleted  
95 cation-adjusted Mueller Hinton broth for CFDC and cation-adjusted Mueller Hinton broth for  
96 meropenem (MEM) and ceftazidime (CAZ), according to CLSI [11, 12]. To investigate the  
97 effect of  $\beta$ -lactamase on activity of CFDC against these strains, MICs were determined with  
98 or without  $\beta$ -lactamase inhibitors such as 100  $\mu$ g/mL of dipicolinic acid (DPA) (a  
99 metallo- $\beta$ -lactamase inhibitor) and/or 4  $\mu$ g/mL of avibactam (AVI) (a serine- $\beta$ -lactamase  
100 inhibitor). Meropenem (MEM) was studied in parallel with the same combinations of AVI  
101 and/or DPA. In the case of *E. coli* isogenic mutants, test broth included 1 mM isopropyl  
102  $\beta$ -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG).

### 103 2.3 Whole genome sequencing

104 Genomic DNA from each bacterial sample was extracted from overnight cultures using  
105 the illustra bacteria genomicPrep Mini Spin Kit (Cytiva). DNA libraries were prepared  
106 using Nextera XT DNA Sample Prep Kit (Illumina) according to the manufacturer's  
107 instructions. Briefly, the Genomic DNA samples were fragmented and index-tagged to  
108 ligate into illumina adapters. Fragments were amplified by Veriti Thermal Cycler (Applied  
109 Biosystemss) and purified by Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman coulter). The  
110 purified pooled DNA libraries were sequenced using the Illumina MiSeq system with  
111 300-base paired-end reads. The raw FASTQ reads were first trimmed to quality score limit  
112 0.05 (Q13) with maximum 2 ambiguous nucleotides and assembled into contigs for each  
113 test sample using CLC Genomics Workbench version 11.0 (Qiagen). To investigate  
114  $\beta$ -lactamase genes, all contig datasets of test samples were loaded to Pipeline pilot version  
115 9.5.0.831 (Dassault Systems Biovia), and subjected to BLASTn-based search against  
116 in-house  $\beta$ -lactamase gene database. The hit sequences were translated into amino acid  
117 sequences. Then, the amino acid sequences were used to identify the subtypes of  
118  $\beta$ -lactamases.

119

### 120 2.4 Determination of multilocus sequencing typing (MLST) against *A. baumannii*

121 The *A. baumannii* MLST profiles were determined by comparison of 7 allele  
122 sequences from the public database [13]. The allele sequences of *A. baumannii* isolates were  
123 determined by blastn search for generated contigs using Pipeline pilot version 9.5.0.831  
124 (Dassault Systemes Biovia).

125

## 126 3. Results and Discussion

127 Previously, we reported NDM-producing strains showed a MIC distribution of CFDC

128 with higher concentration range compared with other carbapenemase producers although  
129 CFDC showed MIC of  $\leq 4$   $\mu\text{g/mL}$  against 64 to 87% of NDM-producers [10, 14]. Therefore,  
130 we categorized these CFDC non-susceptible isolates into NDM- and non-NDM-groups.  
131 Although several isolates showed CFDC MIC of  $\leq 4$   $\mu\text{g/mL}$ , the effect of  $\beta$ -lactamase  
132 inhibitors was assessed by the resulting MICs with or without the  $\beta$ -lactamase inhibitors  
133 presented in Table 1.

134 Against 5 Enterobacterales isolates possessing the NDM gene, all of which are from  
135 Turkey, a  $>2$ -fold CFDC MIC decrease was not observed by the addition of either DPA or AVI  
136 except for 2 isolates showing a 4-fold decrease in the presence of DPA (MIC from 4  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  to  
137 1  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ). On the other hand, MEM MIC of all isolates decreased  $>64$ -fold by the addition of  
138 only DPA. Interestingly, the CFDC MIC against all 5 isolates decreased 8- to 64-fold by the  
139 addition of both DPA and AVI to the susceptible level ( $\leq 0.5$   $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ). These results clearly  
140 suggested that the non-susceptibility to CFDC of NDM-producing Enterobacterales isolates in  
141 this study was not only due to the NDM production and could be due to co-expression of  
142 multiple  $\beta$ -lactamases such as both metallo- and serine-type  $\beta$ -lactamases.

143 To clarify the responsible  $\beta$ -lactamases for CFDC non-susceptibility, the  
144 susceptibility was evaluated using *E. coli* isogenic strain introduced with possible  
145  $\beta$ -lactamases (Table 2). *E. coli* isogenic strain introduced with NDM-1 showed MIC increase  
146 to CFDC and MEM by 64-fold and 256-fold although the MIC remained 4 or 8  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ,  
147 respectively, suggesting NDM could be the responsible  $\beta$ -lactamase for non-susceptibility to  
148 CFDC and MEM. On the other hand, the CFDC MICs did not change with the introduction  
149 of OXA-1, TEM-1, and CTX-M-15 which were present in the CFDC non-susceptible clinical  
150 isolates. However, a 4-fold elevation of CFDC MICs was observed by the introduction of  
151 some SHV-type  $\beta$ -lactamase (Table 2). These results suggest that the non-susceptibility to



152 CFDC of NDM-producing Enterobacterales isolates in this study could be due to  
153 co-expression of NDM and serine-type  $\beta$ -lactamases although we could not identify a specific  
154 serine-type  $\beta$ -lactamase responsible for CFDC non-susceptibility.

155         Against 32 of 33 non-NDM-possessing Enterobacterales, *A. baumannii*, and *P.*  
156 *aeruginosa* isolates,  $\geq 8$ -fold decreases of CFDC MIC was observed by addition of AVI (Table  
157 1). Even against the remaining 1 isolate, 4-fold MIC decrease was observed by the addition of  
158 AVI. The results suggest that a serine-type  $\beta$ -lactamase contributed to the increase MIC of  
159 CFDC. The whole genome sequencing showed these isolates could be categorized into two  
160 groups based on the presence of the PER gene; 25 isolates were PER-positive isolates and 8  
161 isolates were PER-negative. All 25 PER-positive isolates were *A. baumannii* which are from  
162 Russia (18 isolates), Turkey (6 isolates), and Sweden (1 isolate). The MLST analysis based on  
163 Oxford scheme showed that these 25 *A. baumannii* isolates have closely related genetic  
164 backgrounds as they belong to 5 MLST groups (8 ST502, 6 ST558, 5 ST452, 4 ST450, and 2  
165 ST493) and five of seven allele number (*cpn60*, *gdhB*, *gltA*, *recA*, and *rpoD*) were the same  
166 among these 5 MLST groups [15]. It was also interesting that 24 of 25 PER-positive isolates  
167 had CFDC MIC of  $>8$   $\mu\text{g/mL}$  although none of other 14 non-PER isolates including  
168 NDM-positive isolates had MICs ranging from 2-8  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  (Table 1). Previously, Ito reported  
169 that CFDC showed good activity with MIC of  $\leq 4$   $\mu\text{g/mL}$  against  $\geq 90\%$  of 47 PER-producing  
170 isolates which included only 4 *A. baumannii* strains [16]. The effect of PER-type  $\beta$ -lactamase  
171 against CFDC MIC was confirmed by MIC increases to CFDC and CAZ by 16-fold and  
172  $>256$ -fold, respectively, due to the introduction of PER-1 gene into *E. coli* (Table 2).  
173 Considering that the degree of MIC increase by the introduction of PER-1 was smaller than  
174 by NDM-1, and that CFDC showed MIC of  $\leq 4$   $\mu\text{g/mL}$  against  $\geq 90\%$  among 47  
175 PER-producing isolates including 4 *A. baumannii* isolates [16], suggests that the presence of  
176 only PER-type  $\beta$ -lactamase might not result in high MIC of  $>8$   $\mu\text{g/mL}$  in PER-positive *A.*

177 *baumannii*. Although it has not been studied, additional factor(s) such as additional  
178 serine-type  $\beta$ -lactamase or outer membrane permeability related factors, which could be  
179 associated with species-type, might contribute to the high MICs to CFDC. As no common  
180 specific  $\beta$ -lactamases could be related to non-susceptibility to CFDC in 8 PER-negative  
181 isolates, further investigation will be needed.

182

#### 183 **4. Conclusion**

184  $\beta$ -lactamases were shown to contribute to CFDC non-susceptibility in all CFDC  
185 non-susceptible isolates with MIC of  $\geq 4$   $\mu\text{g/mL}$  from multi-national surveillance study  
186 (SIDERO-WT-2014). The frequent identification of the NDM- and PER-producers suggested  
187 the contribution of these  $\beta$ -lactamases to CFDC non-susceptibility, however additional  
188 factor(s) such as the other  $\beta$ -lactamase seemed to be needed to produce high CFDC resistance.  
189 Overall, the presence of CFDC non-susceptibility (MIC  $> 4$   $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) remains very low ( $<1\%$ )  
190 [7, 9]. Continuous observation is needed to identify the epidemiology and mechanism of  
191 CFDC resistance.

192

#### 193 **Acknowledgements**

194 The MIC data was supported by Shionogi TechnoAdvance Research & Co., Ltd. We thank  
195 Akinobu Ito, Ph.D., Shionogi & Co., Ltd., for his supports.

196

#### 197 **Declaration**

#### 198 **Funding**

199 Shionogi & Co., Ltd. funded this study.

**200 Competing Interests**

201 All authors are employees of Shionogi & Co., Ltd. or International Health Management  
202 Associates, Inc. The IHMA authors do not have personal financial interests in Shionogi & Co.,  
203 Ltd.

**204 Ethical Approval**

205 Not required.

**206 References**

- 207 [1] Laxminarayan R, Duse A, Wattal C, Zaidi AK, Wertheim HF, Sumpradit N, Vlieghe E,  
208 Hara GL, Gould IM, Goossens H, Greko C, So AD, Bigdeli M, Tomson G, Woodhouse W,  
209 Ombaka E, Peralta AQ, Qamar FN, Mir F, Kariuki S, Bhutta ZA, Coates A, Bergstrom R,  
210 Wright GD, Brown ED, Cars O. Antibiotic resistance-the need for global solutions. *Lancet*  
211 *Infect Dis* 2013;13:1057-98. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(13\)70318-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(13)70318-9).
- 212 [2] Boucher HW, Talbot GH, Bradley JS, Edwards JE, Gilbert D, Rice LB, Scheld M,  
213 Spellberg B, Bartlett J. 2009. Bad bugs, no drugs: no ESKAPE! An update from the Infectious  
214 Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009;48:1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1086/595011>.
- 215 [3] World Health Organization Antimicrobial resistance global report on surveillance.,  
216 <http://www.who.int/drugresistance/documents/surveillancereport/en/> ; 2014 .
- 217 [4] Ito A, Nishikawa T, Masumoto S, Yoshizawa H, Sato T, Nakamura R, Tsuji M, Yamano Y.  
218 Siderophore cephalosporin cefiderocol utilizes ferric iron transporter systems for antibacterial  
219 activity against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2016;60:7396-401.  
220 <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01405-16>.
- 221 [5] Ito-Horiyama T, Ishii Y, Ito A, Sato T, Nakamura R, Fukuhara N, Tsuji M, Yamano Y,  
222 Yamaguchi K, Tateda K. Stability of novel siderophore cephalosporin S-649266 against  
223 clinically relevant carbapenemases. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2016;60:4384-86.

224 <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.03098-15>.

225 [6] Poirel L, Kieffer N, Nordmann P. Stability of cefiderocol against clinically significant  
226 broad-spectrum oxacillinases. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2018;52:866-7.

227 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2018.11.005>.

228 [7] Hackel MA, Tsuji M, Yamano Y, Echols R, Karlowsky JA, Sahm DF. In vitro activity of  
229 the siderophore cephalosporin, cefiderocol, against a recent collection of clinically relevant  
230 Gram-negative bacilli from North America and Europe, including carbapenem-nonsusceptible  
231 isolates (SIDERO-WT-2014 Study). *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2017;61:e00093-17.

232 <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00093-17>.

233 [8] Hackel MA, Tsuji M, Yamano Y, Echols R, Karlowsky JA, Sahm DF. In vitro activity of  
234 the siderophore cephalosporin, cefiderocol, against carbapenem-nonsusceptible and  
235 multidrug-resistant isolates of Gram-negative bacilli collected worldwide in 2014 to 2016.  
236 *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2018;62:e01968-17. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01968-17>.

237 [9] Karlowsky JA, Hackel MA, Tsuji M, Yamano Y, Echols R, Sahm DF. In vitro activity of  
238 cefiderocol, a siderophore cephalosporin, against Gram-negative bacilli isolated by clinical  
239 laboratories in North America and Europe in 2015-2016: SIDERO-WT-2015. *Int J Antimicrob*  
240 *Agents* 2019;53:456-66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2018.11.007>.

241 [10] Kazmierczak KM, Tsuji M, Wise MG, Hackel M, Yamano Y, Echols R, Sahm DF. In  
242 vitro activity of cefiderocol, a siderophore cephalosporin, against a recent collection of  
243 clinically relevant carbapenem-non-susceptible Gram-negative bacilli, including serine  
244 carbapenemase- and metallo- $\beta$ -lactamase-producing isolates (SIDERO-WT-2014 Study). *Int J*  
245 *Antimicrob Agents* 2019;53:177-84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2018.10.007>

246 [11] Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial  
247 Susceptibility Testing. 30th edition. Wayne, PA, USA: CLSI; 2020. M100.

248 [12] Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial

- 249 Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria that Grow Aerobically. Ninth Edition. Wayne, PA, USA:  
250 CLSI; 2012. Approved Standard M07-A9.
- 251 [13] PubMLST. *Acinetobacter baumannii* MLST (Oxford) database,  
252 [https://pubmlst.org/bigssdb?db=pubmlst\\_abaumannii\\_oxford\\_seqdef](https://pubmlst.org/bigssdb?db=pubmlst_abaumannii_oxford_seqdef). Accessed 30 May 2019.
- 253 [14] Tsuji M, Kazmierczak KM, Hackel M, Echols R, Yamano Y, Sahm DF. Cefiderocol  
254 (S-649266) susceptibility against globally isolated meropenem non-susceptible  
255 Gram-negative bacteria containing serine and metallo-carbapenemase, abstr Saturday-622.  
256 Abstr Microbe 2018.
- 257 [15] Bartual SG, Seifert H, Hippler C, Luzon MA, Wisplinghoff H, Rodríguez-Valera F.  
258 Development of a multilocus sequence typing scheme for characterization of clinical isolates  
259 of *Acinetobacter baumannii*. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2005;43:4382-90.  
260 <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.43.9.4382-4390.2005>.
- 261 [16] Ito A, Hackel M, Sahm DF, Tsuji M, Yamano Y. Characterization of isolates showing  
262 high MICs to cefiderocol from global surveillance study SIDERO-CR-2014/2016, abstr  
263 P1857. Abstr 29th European Congress of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases 2019.

264 Table 1 *In vitro* activity of CFDC against Gram-negative bacilli with CFDC MIC of  $\geq 8$   $\mu\text{g/mL}$  from multi-national surveillance studies in 2014  
 265 (SIDERO-WT-2014)

NDM $\beta$ -lactamase	Test strains	Characteristics	MIC ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) <sup>a</sup>								
			CFDC			MEM					
			-	+DPA	-	+DPA	-	+DPA	-	+DPA	-
			-	+DPA	+AVI	+DPA	-	+DPA	+AVI	+AVI	
NDM positive	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	SR200277	NDM-1, CTX-M-15, TEM-1, OXA-1, SHV-group	4	2	2	0.25	> 32	0.5	32	0.125
	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	SR200278	NDM-1, TEM-1, OXA-1, CTX-M-group, SHV-group	4	1	4	0.06	16	$\leq 0.03$	1	$\leq 0.03$
	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	SR200279	NDM-1, CTX-M-15, TEM-1, OXA-1, SHV-group	4	1	4	0.5	> 32	0.5	> 32	0.125
	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	SR200282	NDM-1, CTX-M-15, TEM-1, OXA-1, SHV-group	4	2	4	0.5	> 32	0.5	> 32	0.125
	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	SR200283	NDM-1, CTX-M-15, TEM-1, OXA-1, SHV-group	4	4	2	0.5	> 32	0.5	32	0.06
NDM negative	<i>K. aerogenes</i>	SR200232	AmpC	4	4	$\leq 0.03$	$\leq 0.03$	0.125	0.125	$\leq 0.03$	$\leq 0.03$
	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	SR200264	CTX-M-15, TEM-1, SHV-11, OXA-1	4	2	0.125	0.06	0.06	0.06	$\leq 0.03$	$\leq 0.03$
	<i>S. marcescens</i>	SR200217	TEM-10, AmpC	8	32	0.25	0.25	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06
	<i>S. marcescens</i>	SR200218	SHV-12, AmpC	4	4	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06
	<i>A. baumannii</i>	SR200179	PER-1, ADC-11, OXA-23, OXA-66	> 32	> 32	1	1	32	32	32	16
	<i>A. baumannii</i>	SR200180	PER-1, ADC-11, OXA-69	16	16	0.06	0.125	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25
	<i>A. baumannii</i>	SR200181	PER-1, ADC-11, OXA-23, OXA-66	> 32	> 32	2	1	32	32	32	16
	<i>A. baumannii</i>	SR200182	PER-1, ADC-11, OXA-66, OXA-72	16	32	0.25	0.25	> 32	32	32	16
	<i>A. baumannii</i>	SR200183	PER-1, ADC-25, OXA-23, OXA-66	32	> 32	0.25	0.25	32	16	16	8
	<i>A. baumannii</i>	SR200184	PER-1, ADC-25, OXA-23, OXA-66	> 32	> 32	0.25	0.25	32	16	16	8
	<i>A. baumannii</i>	SR200185	PER-1, ADC-25, OXA-23, OXA-66	> 32	> 32	0.25	0.25	32	16	16	8
	<i>A. baumannii</i>	SR200186	PER-1, TEM-1, ADC-11, OXA-66	> 32	32	0.5	0.5	1	1	1	1
	<i>A. baumannii</i>	SR200187	PER-1, ADC-25, OXA-23, OXA-66	> 32	> 32	0.25	0.25	> 32	32	32	32
	<i>A. baumannii</i>	SR200188	PER-1, ADC-25, OXA-23, OXA-66	> 32	> 32	0.25	0.25	> 32	32	32	32
	<i>A. baumannii</i>	SR200189	PER-1, TEM-1, ADC-11, OXA-66	> 32	> 32	0.25	0.25	1	1	1	0.25
	<i>A. baumannii</i>	SR200191	PER-1, GES-11, ADC-11, OXA-66, OXA-72	16	32	0.125	0.125	32	32	32	16
	<i>A. baumannii</i>	SR200192	ADC-33, OXA-82	2	2	0.5	0.5	> 32	32	32	32
	<i>A. baumannii</i>	SR200193	PER-1, ADC-25, OXA-23, OXA-66	> 32	> 32	0.25	0.25	32	32	16	16
	<i>A. baumannii</i>	SR200194	PER-1, ADC-25, OXA-23, OXA-66	> 32	> 32	$\leq 0.03$	$\leq 0.03$	16	8	4	4
	<i>A. baumannii</i>	SR200195	PER-1, ADC-25, OXA-23, OXA-66	> 32	> 32	0.25	0.25	32	16	16	8

<i>A. baumannii</i>	SR200196	PER-1, ADC-25, OXA-23, OXA-66	> 32	32	0.25	0.25	32	16	16	8
<i>A. baumannii</i>	SR200197	PER-1, ADC-25, OXA-23, OXA-66	16	16	0.25	0.25	16	16	8	8
<i>A. baumannii</i>	SR200198	PER-1, ADC-11, OXA-66	8	16	0.25	0.5	2	1	2	1
<i>A. baumannii</i>	SR200200	ADC-33, OXA-82	4	2	0.5	0.5	> 32	32	32	32
<i>A. baumannii</i>	SR200201	PER-1, ADC-25, OXA-23, OXA-66	> 32	> 32	0.25	0.25	32	16	16	8
<i>A. baumannii</i>	SR200202	PER-1, ADC-25, OXA-23, OXA-66	32	> 32	0.25	0.25	32	32	16	16
<i>A. baumannii</i>	SR200203	PER-1, ADC-25, OXA-23, OXA-66	> 32	> 32	0.5	0.25	32	16	16	8
<i>A. baumannii</i>	SR200204	PER-1, ADC-11, OXA-66, OXA-72	> 32	> 32	0.5	0.25	32	32	16	16
<i>A. baumannii</i>	SR200205	PER-1, ADC-11, OXA-66, OXA-72	> 32	> 32	0.5	0.25	32	32	32	16
<i>A. baumannii</i>	SR200206	PER-1, ADC-11, OXA-66, OXA-72	> 32	> 32	0.5	0.5	32	32	32	16
<i>A. baumannii</i>	SR200207	PER-1, ADC-11, OXA-66, OXA-72	> 32	> 32	0.25	0.125	32	32	32	32
<i>A. baumannii</i>	SR200208	ADC-33, OXA-82	8	8	0.25	0.5	16	16	4	4
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	SR200210	AmpC, OXA-50-like	2	2	0.25	0.25	1	1	0.125	0.125

266 <sup>a</sup> MICs were determined with or without  $\beta$ -lactamase inhibitors such as 100  $\mu$ g/mL of dipicolinic acid (DPA) and 4  $\mu$ g/mL of avibactam (AVI)

267

268

269

270

271 Table 2 *In vitro* activity of CFDC and reference compounds against *E. coli* isogenic mutants

Species	Test strains	MIC ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ ) in the presence of 1 mM IPTG		
		CFDC	CAZ	MEM
<i>E. coli</i>	BL21(DE3)/pET9a (vector control)	0.063-0.125	0.031-0.125	0.031-0.063
<i>E. coli</i>	BL21(DE3)/pET9a::TEM-1	0.063	0.031	0.031
<i>E. coli</i>	BL21(DE3)/pET9a::SHV-1	0.25	0.125	0.031
<i>E. coli</i>	BL21(DE3)/pET9a::SHV-3	0.125	0.125	0.031
<i>E. coli</i>	BL21(DE3)/pET9a::SHV-4	0.5	8	0.031
<i>E. coli</i>	BL21(DE3)/pET9a::SHV-5	0.25-0.5	8	0.031
<i>E. coli</i>	BL21(DE3)/pET9a::SHV-11	0.125	0.063	0.031
<i>E. coli</i>	BL21(DE3)/pET9a::SHV-12	0.5	4	0.063
<i>E. coli</i>	BL21(DE3)/pET9a::SHV-26	0.125	0.063	0.031
<i>E. coli</i>	BL21(DE3)/pET9a::SHV-28	0.125	0.125	0.031
<i>E. coli</i>	BL21(DE3)/pET9a::CTX-M-15	0.125	0.25	0.031
<i>E. coli</i>	BL21(DE3)/pET9a::CTX-M-27	0.5	2	0.063
<i>E. coli</i>	BL21(DE3)/pET9a::PER-1	1	>16	0.031
<i>E. coli</i>	BL21(DE3)/pET9a::KPC-2	0.125	1	2
<i>E. coli</i>	BL21(DE3)/pET9a::KPC-3	0.125	1	0.5
<i>E. coli</i>	BL21(DE3)/pET9a::NDM-1	4	>16	8
<i>E. coli</i>	BL21(DE3)/pET9a::ACT-1	0.125	0.25	0.063
<i>E. coli</i>	BL21(DE3)/pET9a::ACT-20-like (V68I,G91S)	0.125	0.125	0.063
<i>E. coli</i>	BL21(DE3)/pET9a::OXA-1	0.125	0.063	0.031
<i>E. coli</i>	BL21(DE3)/pET9a::OXA-23	0.125	0.125	0.125
<i>E. coli</i>	BL21(DE3)/pET9a::OXA-24	0.125	0.125	1

272

273

274